

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,455	12/03/2004	Michel Puech	Q84452	2876	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 08/27/200 ION, PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			OLSEN, ALLAN W		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,455		PUECH, MICHEL		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Allan Olsen	1792		

	Allan Olsen	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>\( \)\The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 ( periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (9 or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date to purposes of determining the period of ev under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office size may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	ΓE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or     (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar to the first of the file of the file.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	ii or the status of the claims after er	iuy is below or attach	eu.
The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Allan Olsen/		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that in Gupta, RF signal is applied to electrodes within the reaction chamber, not by passing an RF wave through a leakproof wall of dielectric material, as is recleted in claim 1. Therefore, Gupta cannot discloses all of the elements of claim 1, and claim 1 is patentable over the applied art. However, the 103 rejection addressed Gupta's teachings that make obvious the use of an inductively coupled plasma reactor which would meet the limitation of passing an RF wave through a leakproof wall of dielectric material.